

RIGIDITY THEOREMS OF THE SPACE-LIKE λ -HYPERSURFACES IN THE LORENTZIAN SPACE $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$

XINGXIAO LI* AND XIUFEN CHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study complete space-like λ -hypersurfaces in the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$. As the result, we prove some rigidity theorems for these hypersurfaces including the complete space-like self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, let $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon^{n+1}$ be the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (when $\varepsilon = 1$) or the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ (when $\varepsilon = -1$). The standard inner product on $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon^{n+1}$ is given by:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = X_1 Y_1 + X_2 Y_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon X_{n+1} Y_{n+1}.$$

Let M be an immersed hypersurface in $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon^{n+1}$ and $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon^{n+1}$ be the corresponding immersion of M . In this paper, we also use x to denote the position vector of M . Thus x , as well as the unit normal vector N and the mean curvature H , are taken as smooth $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ -valued functions on M^n . For a suitably chosen function s on M^n , if the position vector x of M satisfies

$$H - s\langle x, N \rangle := \lambda = \text{const}, \quad (1.1)$$

then M is called a λ -hypersurface with the weight function s .

When $s \equiv 0$, the corresponding λ -hypersurfaces reduce to hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature which have been studied extensively. For example, Calabi considered in [1] the maximum space-like hypersurfaces M^n in the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and proposed some Bernstein-type problems for a nonlinear equation; For a given complete space-like hypersurface M in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, it was proved by Xin ([20]) that if the image of the Gauss map is inside a bounded subdomain of the hyperbolic n -space $\mathbb{H}^n$, then M must be a hyperplane. A similar result was also proved earlier in ([18]) with extra assumptions. In [3], Cao, Shen and Zhu further extended the result by showing that if the image of the Gauss map lies inside a horoball of $\mathbb{H}^n$, M is necessarily a hyperplane. Later, Wu ([19]) generalized the above mentioned results and proved a more general Bernstein theorem for complete space-like hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space with constant mean curvature.

If s is chosen to be constant and $\lambda = 0$, M is called a self-shrinker. It is known that self-shrinkers play an important role in the study of the mean curvature flow because they describe all possible blow ups at a given singularity of the mean curvature flow ([7]). There are also other mathematicians who have been studying the geometries of self-shrinkers and obtained a lot of interesting theorems, including some gap theorems and rigidity theorems for complete self-shrinkers. Details of this can be found in, for example, [2], [6], [9], [10], [11], [12], [15] etc.

According to [13], the concept of λ -hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ were firstly introduced by M. Mcgonagle and J. Ross with $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ([16]); Q. Guang ([13]) also defined the λ -hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C40, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Lorentzian space, rigidity theorems, space-like λ -hypersurfaces, self-shrinkers.

Research supported by Foundation of Natural Sciences of China (No. 11171091, 11371018).

with $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and proved a Bernstein-type theorem showing that smooth λ -hypersurfaces which are entire graphs and with a polynomial volume growth are necessarily hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

If one takes $s(X) = -1$ in (1.1), the corresponding λ -hypersurfaces are exactly what Q.-M. Cheng and G. Wei defined and studied in [7], where the authors have successfully introduced a weighted volume functional and proved that the λ -hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are nothing but the critical points of the above functional. Later, Cheng, Ogaza and Wei ([5], [8]) have obtained some rigidity and Bernstein-type theorems for these complete λ -hypersurfaces. In particular, the following result is proved:

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n -dimensional complete λ -hypersurface with weight $s = -1$ and a polynomial area growth. Then, either x is isometric to one of the following embedded hypersurfaces:*

- (1) *the sphere $S^n(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with radius $r > 0$;*
- (2) *the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$;*
- (3) *the cylinder $S^1(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$;*
- (4) *the cylinder $S^{n-1}(r) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,*

or, there exists some $p \in M^n$ such that the squared norm S of the second fundamental form of x satisfies

$$\left(\sqrt{S(p) - \frac{H^2(p)}{n}} + |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H(p) - \lambda)^2 > 1 + \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)}.$$

In this paper, we consider space-like λ -hypersurfaces $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ in the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$. We first extend the definitions of λ -hypersurfaces and self-shrinkers to those in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, and then generalize the $\mathcal{L}$ -operator that has been effectively used by many authors (see the operators $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ defined, respectively in (2.4) and (2.14)). We shall be using these generalized operators to extend Theorem 1.1 to the complete space-like λ -hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.

Let a be a nonzero constant and denote $\epsilon = \text{Sgn}(a\langle x, x \rangle)$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Lorentzian product. We shall study λ -hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ either with weight $s = \epsilon a$ or with weight $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. For a given hypersurface M , we always use S to denote the squared norm of the second fundamental form, and use A and I to denote the shape operator and the identity map, respectively. Then the rigidity theorems we have proved in this paper are stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like λ -hypersurface with $s = \epsilon a$. Suppose that $\langle x, x \rangle$ does not change sign and*

$$\int_{M^n} \left(\left| \nabla \left(S - \frac{H^2}{n} \right) \right| + \left| \mathcal{L} \left(S - \frac{H^2}{n} \right) \right| \right) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} < +\infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by (2.4). Then, either x is totally umbilical and thus isometric to one of the following two hypersurfaces:

- (1) *the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(c) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with an arbitrary sectional curvature $c < 0$;*
- (2) *the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$,*

or, there exists some $p \in M^n$ such that, at p

$$\left(\sqrt{S - \frac{H^2}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} + \epsilon a < 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like λ -hypersurface with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. Suppose that*

$$\int_{M^n} \left(\left| \nabla \left(S - \frac{H^2}{n} \right) \right| + \left| \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \left(S - \frac{H^2}{n} \right) \right| \right) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} < +\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

$$4A^2 - \frac{4HA}{n} + \left(S - \frac{H^2}{n} \right) I \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

where the differential operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined by (2.14). Then, either x is totally umbilical and thus isometric to one of the following two embedded hypersurfaces:

- (1) the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(c) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with an arbitrary $c < 0$;
- (2) the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$;

or, there exists some $p \in M^n$ such that

$$\left(\sqrt{S(p) - \frac{H^2(p)}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H(p) - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} < 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be proved in Section 3. Before doing this some necessary lemmas are given in Section 2.

As a direct corollary of Theorem 1.3, we obtain

Theorem 1.4. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like λ -hypersurface with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. Suppose that (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied. If*

$$\left(\sqrt{S - \frac{1}{n}H^2} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \geq 0, \quad (1.7)$$

then one of the following two conclusions must hold:

- (1) $\lambda \leq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}$, and x is isometric to the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-r^{-2}) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with $r \geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$;
- (2) $\lambda = 0$ and x is isometric to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.

Proof. If the condition (1.7) is satisfied for a hypersurface $\mathbb{H}^n(-r^{-2})$ with $r > 0$, then by the fact that $x = rN$ we have

$$\lambda = H - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, N \rangle = \frac{n}{r} - r^3.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\sqrt{S - \frac{1}{n}H^2} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(H^2 - 2\lambda H) = \frac{1}{r^2}(2r^4 - n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Therefore $r \geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ which implies directly that $\lambda \leq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}$. As for the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda = 0$ is direct by the definition of λ -hypersurfaces. $\square$

A similar corollary of Theorem 1.2 can also be derived, which is omitted here.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like λ -hypersurface with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. Suppose $S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ is constant. If (1.5) and (1.7) are satisfied, then x is isometric to either the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-r^{-2}) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ with $r \geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ or the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.*

Proof. Since $S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ is constant, the condition (1.4) in Theorem 1.3 is trivially satisfied. Then Corollary 1.5 follows direct from Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.1. For the special case that $\lambda = 0$, that is, for the “self-shrinker” case, the following two conclusions can be easily seen from Theorem 1.4:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like hypersurface self-shrinker with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. Suppose that (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied, then x is isometric to one of the following two embedded hypersurfaces:*

- (1) *the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$;*
- (2) *the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.*

Proof. When $\lambda = 0$, it is clear that (1.7) is trivially satisfied. Furthermore, for a hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-r^{-2}) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, $\lambda = 0$ also implies that $r^2 = \sqrt{n}$. $\square$

Corollary 1.7. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like self-shrinker with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. If $S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ is constant and (1.5) is satisfied, then x is isometric to the either the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ or the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$.*

Proof. The assumption that $S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ is constant directly means that (1.4) is trivially satisfied. $\square$

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NECESSARY LEMMAS

Firstly we fix the following convention for the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n, \quad 1 \leq A, B, C \dots \leq n+1.$$

Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a connected space-like hypersurface of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and $\{e_A\}_{A=1}^{n+1}$ be a local orthonormal frame field of $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ along x with dual coframe field $\{\omega^A\}_{A=1}^{n+1}$ such that, when restricted to x , $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are tangent to x and thus $N := e_{n+1}$ is the unit normal vector of x . Then with the connection forms ω_A^B we have

$$dx = \sum_i \omega^i e_i, \quad de_i = \sum_j \omega_i^j e_j + \omega_i^{n+1} e_{n+1}, \quad de_{n+1} = \sum_i \omega_{n+1}^i e_i.$$

By restricting these forms to M^n and using Cartan's lemma, we have

$$\omega^{n+1} = 0, \quad \omega_i^{n+1} = \omega_{n+1}^i = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} \omega^j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji},$$

where h_{ij} are nothing but the components of the second fundamental form h of x , that is, $h = \sum h_{ij} \omega^i \omega^j$. Then the mean curvature H of x is given by $H = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jj}$. Denote

$$h_{ijk} = (\nabla h)_{ijk} = (\nabla_k h)_{ij}, \quad h_{ijkl} = (\nabla^2 h)_{ijkl} = (\nabla_l (\nabla h))_{ijk} \quad (2.1)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric and $\nabla_i := \nabla_{e_i}$. Then the Gauss equations, Codazzi equations and Ricci identities are given respectively by

$$R_{ijkl} = h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}, \quad h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_{m=1}^n h_{im} R_{jmk} + \sum_{m=1}^n h_{mj} R_{imk}, \quad (2.3)$$

where R_{ijkl} are the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor. For a function F defined on M , the covariant derivatives of F are denoted by

$$F_{,i} = (\nabla F)_i = \nabla_i F, \quad F_{,ij} = (\nabla^2 F)_{ij} = (\nabla_j (\nabla F))_i, \quad \dots$$

Let Δ be the Laplacian operator of the induced metric on M^n . In case that $\langle x, x \rangle$ does not change its sign, we can define

$$\mathcal{L}v = \Delta v - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in C^2(M^n), \quad (2.4)$$

where, for any constant a , $\epsilon = \text{Sgn}(a \langle x, x \rangle)$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is an elliptic operator and

$$\mathcal{L}v = e^{\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \text{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right), \quad \forall v \in C^2(M^n). \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, for $v \in C^2(M^n)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \text{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \text{div}(\nabla v) + \left\langle \nabla e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}}, \nabla v \right\rangle \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \Delta v + e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} (-\epsilon a \langle x, x_i \rangle) \langle e_i, \nabla v \rangle \right) \\ &= \Delta v - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla v \rangle = \mathcal{L}v. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [4]). *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like hypersurface for which $\langle x, x \rangle$ does not change its sign. Then, for any C^1 -function u on M^n with compact support, it holds that*

$$\int_{M^n} u(\mathcal{L}v) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}, \quad \forall v \in C^2(M^n). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By (2.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M^n} u(\mathcal{L}v) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} &= \int_{M^n} u \left(e^{\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \text{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) \right) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} u \text{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} \left(\text{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) - \left\langle \nabla u, e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right\rangle \right) dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} \text{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there are two cases to be considered:

Case (1): M^n is compact without boundary. In this case, we can directly use the divergence theorem to get

$$\int_{M^n} \text{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} = 0.$$

Case (2): M^n is complete and noncompact. In this case, we can find a geodesic ball $B_r(o)$ big enough such that $\text{Supp } u \subset B_r(o)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M^n} \text{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} &= \int_{B_r(o)} \text{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right) dV_{B_r(o)} \\ &= - \int_{\partial B_r(o)} \left\langle N, u e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} \nabla v \right\rangle dV_{\partial B_r(o)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{M^n} u(\mathcal{L}v) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like hypersurface. If u, v are C^2 -functions satisfying*

$$\int_{M^n} (|u \nabla v| + |\nabla u| |\nabla v| + |u \mathcal{L} v|) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} < +\infty, \quad (2.7)$$

then we have

$$\int_{M^n} u(\mathcal{L} v) e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Within this proof, we will use square brackets $[\cdot]$ to denote weighted integrals

$$[f] = \int_{M^n} f e^{-\frac{\epsilon a \langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (2.9)$$

Given any ϕ that is C^1 -with compact support, we can apply Lemma 2.1 to ϕu and v to get

$$[\phi u \mathcal{L} v] = -[\phi \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle] - [u \langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle]. \quad (2.10)$$

Now we fix one point $o \in M$ and, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, let B_j be the intrinsic ball of radius j in M^n centered at o . Define ϕ_j to be one smooth cutting-off function on M^n that cuts off linearly from one to zero between B_j and B_{j+1} . Since $|\phi_j|$ and $|\nabla \phi_j|$ are bounded by one, $\phi_j \rightarrow 1$ and $|\nabla \phi_j| \rightarrow 0$, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Then the dominated convergence theorem (which applies because of (2.7)) shows that, as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, we have the following limits:

$$[\phi_j u \mathcal{L} v] \rightarrow [u \mathcal{L} v], \quad (2.11)$$

$$[\phi_j \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle] \rightarrow [\langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle], \quad (2.12)$$

$$[u \langle \nabla v, \nabla \phi \rangle] \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Replacing ϕ in (2.10) with ϕ_j , we obtain the corollary. $\square$

Next we consider the case that $s = \langle x, x \rangle$ and define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} v = \Delta v - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in C^2(M^n). \quad (2.14)$$

Then, similar to (2.5), we have for all $v \in C^2(M^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \operatorname{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \operatorname{div}(\nabla v) + \left\langle \nabla e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}}, \nabla v \right\rangle \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \Delta v + e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \left(-\frac{2\langle x, x \rangle}{4} 2\langle x, x_i \rangle \right) \langle e_i, \nabla v \rangle \right) \\ &= \Delta v - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla v \rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{L}} v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ is a complete space-like hypersurface, u is a C^1 -function with compact support, and v is a C^2 -function, then*

$$\int_{M^n} u(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} v) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. Using (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M^n} u(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} v) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} = \int_{M^n} u \left(e^{\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \operatorname{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) \right) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} u \operatorname{div} \left(e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} \left(\operatorname{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) - \left\langle \nabla u, e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right\rangle \right) dV_{M^n} \\ &= \int_{M^n} \operatorname{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n}. \end{aligned}$$

(1) If M^n is compact without boundary, then by the divergence theorem,

$$\int_{M^n} \operatorname{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} = 0.$$

(2) If M^n is complete and noncompact, then there exists some geodesic ball $B_r(o)$ big enough such that $\operatorname{Supp} u \subset B_r(o)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M^n} \operatorname{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) dV_{M^n} &= \int_{B_r(o)} \operatorname{div} \left(u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right) dV_{B_r(o)} \\ &= - \int_{\partial B_r(o)} \left\langle N, u e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} \nabla v \right\rangle dV_{\partial B_r(o)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{M^n} u(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}v) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n}.$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ be a complete space-like hypersurface. If u, v are C^2 -functions satisfying*

$$\int_{M^n} (|u \nabla v| + |\nabla u| |\nabla v| + |u \tilde{\mathcal{L}}v|) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} < +\infty, \quad (2.17)$$

then we have

$$\int_{M^n} u(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}v) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} = - \int_{M^n} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. The proof here is same as that of Corollary 2.2 and is omitted. □

The following lemma is also needed in this paper:

Lemma 2.5 ([17]). *Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ be real numbers satisfying*

$$\sum_i \mu_i = 0, \quad \sum_i \mu_i^2 = \beta^2,$$

with β a nonnegative constant. Then

$$-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \beta^3 \leq \sum_i \mu_i^3 \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \beta^3$$

with either equality holds if and only if $(n-1)$ of μ_i are equal to each other.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we give the proofs of our main theorems.

(1) *Proof of Theorem 1.2*

Since $H - \epsilon a \langle x, N \rangle = \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{,i} &= (\lambda + \epsilon a \langle x, N \rangle)_{,i} = \epsilon a \langle x, N \rangle_{,i} = \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ik} \langle x, e_k \rangle, \\ H_{ij} &= \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ikj} \langle x, e_k \rangle + \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ik} \langle X_j, e_k \rangle + \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ik} \langle x, e_{k,j} \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ikj} \langle x, e_k \rangle + \epsilon a h_{ij} + \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ik} h_{kj} \langle x, N \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \epsilon a h_{ikj} \langle x, e_k \rangle + \epsilon a h_{ij} + \sum_k h_{ik} h_{kj} (H - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Codazzi equation in (2.2) we infer

$$\Delta H = \sum_i H_{,ii} = \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla H \rangle + \epsilon a H + S(H - \lambda),$$

where $S = \sum_{i,k} h_{ik}^2$. It then follows that

$$\mathcal{L}H = \Delta H - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla H \rangle = a\epsilon H + S(H - \lambda),$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}H^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\Delta H^2 - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla H^2 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i (H^2)_{,ii} - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla H^2 \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H\Delta H - 2\epsilon a H \langle x, \nabla H \rangle) \\ &= |\nabla H|^2 + H(\Delta H - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla H \rangle) \\ &= |\nabla H|^2 + \epsilon a H^2 + SH(H - \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By making use of the Ricci identities and the Gauss-Codazzi equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}h_{ij} &= \Delta h_{ij} - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle = \sum_k h_{ki,jk} - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= \sum_k h_{ki,kj} + \sum_{m,k} h_{mi} R_{kjk}^m + \sum_{k,m} h_{km} R_{ijk}^m - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= \sum_k h_{kk,j} + \sum_{m,k} h_{mi} R_{kmjk} + \sum_{k,m} h_{km} R_{imjk} - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= H_{,ij} - H \sum_m h_{im} h_{mj} + S h_{ij} - \epsilon a \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= (\epsilon a + S) h_{ij} - \lambda \sum_k h_{ik} h_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}S &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2 - \sum_k \epsilon a \langle x, e_k \rangle \left(\sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2 \right)_{,k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + (\epsilon a + S)S - \lambda \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ik} h_{kj} h_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + (\epsilon a + S)S - \lambda f_3, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$f_3 = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij} h_{jk} h_{ki}.$$

Let λ_i be the principal curvatures of x and denote

$$\mu_i = \lambda_i - \frac{H}{n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For any point $p \in M^n$, suitably choosing $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ around p such that $h_{ij}(p) = \lambda_i(p)\delta_{ij}$. Then, at the given point p ,

$$f_3 = \sum_i \lambda_i^3 = \sum_i \left(\mu_i + \frac{H}{n} \right)^3 = B_3 + \frac{3}{n}HB + \frac{1}{n^2}H^3,$$

where

$$B = \sum_i \mu_i^2 = S - \frac{H^2}{n}, \quad B_3 = \sum_i \mu_i^3.$$

By a direct computation with (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}B &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}S - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}H^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + (\epsilon a + S)S - \lambda f_3 - \frac{1}{n}(|\nabla H|^2 + \epsilon a H^2 + SH(H - \lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + (\epsilon a + S)S - \lambda f_3 - \frac{1}{n}\epsilon a H^2 - S(H - \lambda)\frac{H}{n} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + (\epsilon a + B)B + \frac{H^2 B}{n} - \lambda B_3 - \frac{2}{n}\lambda H B. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_i \mu_i = 0, \quad \sum_i \mu_i^2 = B,$$

we have by Lemma 2.5

$$|B_3| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where the equality holds if and only if at least $n-1$ of μ_i s are equal. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}B &\geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + (\epsilon a + B)B + \frac{1}{n}H^2 B - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{2}{n}\lambda H B \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + B \left((B + \epsilon a) + \frac{1}{n}H^2 - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2}{n}\lambda H \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + B \left(\left(\sqrt{B} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 + \epsilon a - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Because of (1.2), we can apply Corollary 2.2 to functions 1 and $B = S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{M^n} \left(\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 \right) e^{-\epsilon \frac{\langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n} \\ &\quad + \int_{M^n} B \left(\left(\sqrt{S - \frac{H^2}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} + \epsilon a \right) e^{-\epsilon \frac{\langle x, x \rangle}{2}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by use of the Codazzi equations and the Schwarz inequality, we find

$$\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 = 3 \sum_{i \neq k} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_i h_{iii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} h_{ijk}^2, \quad \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 \leq \sum_{i,k} h_{iik}^2.$$

So that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 \geq 2 \sum_{i \neq k} h_{iik}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} h_{ijk}^2 \geq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

in which the equalities hold if and only if $h_{ijk} = 0$ for any i, j, k .

If $B \neq 0$ and, for all $p \in M^n$, (1.3) does not hold, that is

$$\left(\sqrt{S - \frac{H^2}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} + \epsilon a \geq 0$$

everywhere on M^n , then the right hand side of (3.3) is nonnegative. It then follows that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} |\nabla H|^2 \equiv 0, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\left(\sqrt{S - \frac{H^2}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H - \lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} + \epsilon a \equiv 0 \quad (3.6)$$

on where $B \neq 0$. By (3.4) and (3.5), the second fundamental form h of x is parallel. In particular, x is isoparametric and thus both B and H are constant. Since $B \neq 0$, the equality (3.6) shows that x is a complete isoparametric space-like hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ of exactly two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. It then follows by [14] and $B \neq 0$ that x is isometric to one of the product spaces $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^1(c) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$. But it is clear that, for both of these two product spaces, the function $\langle x, x \rangle$ does change its sign, contradicting the assumption. This contradiction proves that either $B \equiv 0$, namely, x is totally umbilical and isometric to either of the hyperbolic n -space $\mathbb{H}^n(c) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and the Euclidean n -space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, or there exists some $p \in M^n$ such that (1.3) holds.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is thus finished. $\square$

(2) Proof of Theorem 1.3:

Since the idea and method here are the same as those in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we omit the computation detail.

First, by $H - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, N \rangle = \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{,i} &= 2\langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, N \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle \sum_k h_{ik} \langle x, e_k \rangle, \\ H_{,ij} &= 2\delta_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle + 2h_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_k h_{jk} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_k h_{ik} \langle x, e_k \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle + \sum_k h_{ikj} \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle x, x \rangle h_{ij} + \sum_k h_{ik} h_{kj} (H - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then by using the Codazzi equation in (2.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H &= 2n \langle x, N \rangle + 2H \langle x, N \rangle^2 + 4 \sum_{i,k} h_{ik} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_i H_{,i} \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, e_i \rangle + H \langle x, x \rangle + S(H - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}H &= \Delta H - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla H \rangle \\ &= 2n \langle x, N \rangle + 2H \langle x, N \rangle^2 + 4 \sum_{i,k} h_{ik} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle + H \langle x, x \rangle + S(H - \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}H^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\triangle H^2 - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla H^2 \rangle) \\ &= |\nabla H|^2 + 2nH\langle x, N \rangle + 2H^2\langle x, N \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + 4H \sum_{i,k} h_{ik} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle + H^2 \langle x, x \rangle + SH(H - \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}h_{ij} &= \triangle h_{ij} - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= H_{,ij} + \sum_{k,m} h_{mi} R_{kmjk} + \sum_{k,m} h_{km} R_{imjk} - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= H_{,ij} + Sh_{ij} - H \sum_m h_{mi} h_{mj} - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= 2\delta_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle + 2h_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_k h_{jk} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_k h_{ik} \langle x, e_k \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle + \sum_k h_{ikj} \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle h_{ij} \\ &\quad + \sum_k h_{ik} h_{kj} (H - \lambda) + Sh_{ij} - H \sum_m h_{mi} h_{mj} - \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, \nabla h_{ij} \rangle \\ &= 2\delta_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle + 2h_{ij} \langle x, N \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_k h_{jk} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_k h_{ik} \langle x, e_k \rangle \langle x, e_j \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle h_{ij} + Sh_{ij} - \lambda \sum_k h_{ik} h_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}S &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\triangle \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2 - \langle x, x \rangle \left\langle x, \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2 \right) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_k h_{ijk}^2 + 2H\langle x, N \rangle + 2S\langle x, N \rangle^2 + 4 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij} h_{jk} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle x, x \rangle S + S^2 - \lambda f_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where again $f_3 = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij} h_{jk} h_{ki}$.

Denote by $x^\top = \langle x, e_i \rangle e_i$ be the tangential part of the position vector x . Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can choose a suitable frame field $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ making diagonal the second fundamental form h_{ij} around each point $p \in M^n$, and perform a direct computation using (1.5), (3.7) and (3.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}B &= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}S - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}H^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 + B\langle x, N \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{n} |\nabla H|^2 + x^\top \left(4A^2 + BI - \frac{4HA}{n} \right) (x^\top)^t + B^2 + \frac{H^2 B}{n} - \lambda B_3 - \frac{2\lambda HB}{n} \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n} |\nabla H|^2 + B^2 + \frac{H^2 B}{n} - \lambda B_3 - \frac{2\lambda HB}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the assumption (1.5) has been used. Once again we use Lemma 2.5 to get

$$|B_3| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where the equality holds if and only if at least $n-1$ of μ_i are equal. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}B &\geq \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + B^2 + \frac{H^2 B}{n} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{2}{n}\lambda H B \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + B \left(B + \frac{H^2}{n} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2}{n}\lambda H \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 + B \left(\left(\sqrt{B} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Because of (1.4), we can apply the Corollary 2.4 to functions 1 and $B = S - \frac{H^2}{n}$ to find

$$0 \geq \int_{M^n} \left(\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n} \quad (3.10)$$

$$+ \int_{M^n} B \left(\left(\sqrt{B} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H-\lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \right) e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle^2}{4}} dV_{M^n}. \quad (3.11)$$

If $B \neq 0$ and, for all $p \in M^n$, (1.6) does not hold, that is

$$\left(\sqrt{S(p) - \frac{H^2(p)}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H(p)-\lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} \geq 0.$$

everywhere on M^n , then the right hand side of (3.10) is nonnegative. It then follows that

$$\sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\nabla H|^2 \equiv 0, \quad (3.12)$$

and at points where $B \neq 0$

$$\left(\sqrt{S(p) - \frac{H^2(p)}{n}} - |\lambda| \frac{n-2}{2\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n}(H(p)-\lambda)^2 - \frac{n\lambda^2}{4(n-1)} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

By (3.12) and (3.13), the second fundamental form h of x is parallel. In particular, x is isoparametric and thus both B and H are constant. Since $B \neq 0$, the equality (3.6) shows that x is a complete isoparametric space-like hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ of exactly two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. It then follows by [14] and $B \neq 0$ that x is isometric to one of the product spaces $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^1(c) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$. But it is clear that, for both of these two product spaces, the function $\langle x, x \rangle$ is not a constant so that both $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(c) \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{H}^1(c) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ could not be λ -hypersurfaces with $s = \langle x, x \rangle$. This contradiction proves that either $B \equiv 0$, namely, x is totally umbilical and isometric to either of the hyperbolic n -space $\mathbb{H}^n(c) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$ and the Euclidean n -space $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_1^{n+1}$, or there exists some $p \in M^n$ such that (1.6) holds.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is thus finished. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. CALABI, Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Appl. Math., 15, 223-230(1968).
- [2] H. D. CAO AND H. LI, A gap theorem for self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension, Calc. Var., 46, 879-889(2013).
- [3] H. D. CAO, Y. SHEN AND S. ZHU, A Bernstein theorem for complete space-like constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in Minkowski space, Calc. Var., 7, 141-157(1998).
- [4] T. H. COLDING AND W. P. MINICOZZI, Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities, Ann. of Math., 175(2), 755-833(2012).

- [5] Q.-M. CHENG, S. OGATA AND G. WEI, Rigidity theorems of λ -hypersurfaces, arXiv [math. DG]: 1403.4123v2, 1 Apr (2014).
- [6] Q.-M. CHENG AND G. WEI, A gap theorem of self-shrinkers, arXiv [math.DG]:1212.6028v1 25 Dec, (2012); To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (2015).
- [7] Q.-M. CHENG AND G. WEI, Complete λ -hypersurfaces of weighted volume-preserving mean curvature flow, arXiv [math. DG]: 1403.3177v3, 10 Jun (2014).
- [8] Q.-M. CHENG AND G. WEI, The Gauss image of λ -hypersurfaces and a Bernstein type problem, arXiv [math.DG]:1410.5302v1, 20 Oct (2014).
- [9] Q.-M. CHENG AND Y. J. PENG, Complete self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow, arXiv [math. DG]:1202.1053v3, 8 Feb(2012); Calc. Var., 52, 497-506(2015).
- [10] Q. DING AND Y. L. XIN, The rigidity theorems of self shrinkers, arXiv [math. DG]:1105.4962v1, 25 May (2011); Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366(10), 5067C5085(2014).
- [11] Q. DING, Y. L. XIN AND L. YANG, The rigidity theorems of self shrinkers via Gauss maps, arXiv [math. DG]:1203.1096v1, 6 Mar (2012).
- [12] Q. GUANG, Self-shrinkers with second fundamental form of constant length, arXiv [math. DG]:1405.4230v1, 16 May (2014).
- [13] Q. GUANG, Gap and rigidity theorems of λ -hypersurfaces, arXiv [math. DG]: 1405.4871v1, 19 May (2014).
- [14] H. B. LAWSON, Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math., 89(2), 187-197(1969).
- [15] H. LI AND Y. WEI, Classification and rigidity of self-shrinkers in the mean curvature flow, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 66, 709-734(2014).
- [16] M. MCGONAGLE AND J. ROSS, The hyperplane is the only stable, smooth solution to the isoperimetric problem in gaussian space, arXiv[math. DG]: 1307.7088v2, 8 Dec (2014).
- [17] M. OKUMURA, Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, Amer. J. Math., 96, 207-213(1974).
- [18] B. PALMER, The Gauss map of a spacelike constant mean curvature hypersurface of Minkowski space, Comm. Math. Helv., 65, 52-57(1990).
- [19] B. Q. WU, A Bernstein theorem for complete space-like hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space, 51(3), 579-592(2008).
- [20] Y. L. XIN, The Gauss map of a space-like constant mean curvature hypersurface of Minkowski space, Comm. Math., Helv. 65, 52-57(1990).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES

HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY

XINXIANG 453007, HENAN

P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: `xxl@henannu.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES

HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY

XINXIANG 453007, HENAN

P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: `changxfff@163.com`